



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,440 09/28/2000		09/28/2000	RONAK PATEL	114596-29-0125BS 7408	
38492	7590 07/12/2005			EXAMINER	
		GALLAGHER LL OPERTY LEGAL A	COLEMAN, ERIC		
787 SEVEN		OFERTI LEGAL A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY 10	019-6099	2183		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Before the Filling of an Appeal Brief  Examiner  The RPLY FILED 24_lune 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  THE RPLY FILED 24_lune 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The speciation, applicant must timely like one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a blotter of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131. The respondence with 37 cms 1.13 to 10 cms 1.13 to	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
### Coleman  ### Cross And State   Price	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	09/672,440	PATEL ET AL.					
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidiarly, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31, or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RoCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filled within one of the following time periods:  a) ☑ The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) ☐ The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Chamber Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHENTHE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire last from 15 XM ONLYTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. When the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. State of the final rejection, which were the file of the f	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
### THE REPLY FILED 24_June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.    A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal, To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a Notice of Appeal (with ring a Notice of Appeal, To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131.    A The period for reply expires 2, months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   The period for reply expires 2, months from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the final rejection, whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires later than \$50. MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. In the final rejection whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires later than \$50. MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$50. MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply will be statutory period for reply visionally set in the final office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) doors, if checked, Any reply reveals of evention and the corresponding amount of the feet. The appropriate extension feet have set that the statutory period of reply visionally set line the final office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) doors, if checked, Any reply master the soliton in the statutory period of reply visionally	•	Eric Coleman	İ					
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE  1. ② The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this spipitation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiant, or other evidence, which or spipitation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiant, or other evidence, which or can be presented to the continued of the continue	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe							
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidiavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filled within one of the following time periods:  a) ☑ The period for reply expires ②moniths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) ☐ The period for reply expires ②moniths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION See WHEP 706.07(i).  Extensions of time may be distanced under 37 CFR 1.35(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.35(a) and the appropriate extension fee have consistent of the may be distanced under 37 CFR 1.35(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.35(a) and the appropriate extension fee have consistent of the fill of the petition of the fill of the appropriate extension fee have consistent of the fill of the petition of the fill of the appropriate extension fee have consistent of the fill of the appropriate extension fee have consistent of the fill of the appropriate extension fee have consistent of the fill of the appropriate extension fee have consistent of the fill of the appropriate extension fee the date of filling a brief, will not be entered dated or filling the Notice of Appeal was filed on	·							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavil, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliane with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) The period for reply expires and the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire set of the Notice of Non-Compliant and the control of the Notice of Non-Compliant (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire set on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed as the date for purposes of determining the period of extension as the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed as the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed as the date for purposes of determining the period of the filed as the date for purposes of determining the period of the filed as the date for purposes of the filed as the date of filed as the filed within two months of the date of filed as the following of the period of filed as the following of the date of filed as the following of the period of filed as the following of the date of filed as								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the data set forth in the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MPEP 766.0F(i).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have bone filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.13(a) is activated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) soon, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if firring filing, may reduce any varied patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  MOTICE OF APPEAL.  1. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1: is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13(a)s. The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the reply dinglandy set in the final friese under 37 CFR 141.07(a) to do the filed of the filed filed of the filed filed of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent tree adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.13(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS  Important the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise has been do place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  Important's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): (a) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Claim(s) objected to: (Calim(s) between the pollowing rejection (s) (a) will b								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  1 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal and 57 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  3	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
CFR 1.17(a) is activated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later han three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  □ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal as Depending of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). The Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). The Year set was sues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) □ They raise he issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) □ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) □ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (see 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  □ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) objected to:	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	and the appropriate extension fee have					
2 ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filled on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).    AMENDMENTS	been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(c)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS  3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal, and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: ☐ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ☐ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) is of will be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: ☐ Claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: ☐ Claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) objected to: ☐ Claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No								
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: ☐ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  1. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  2. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ☐ (Newly proposed or amended claim(s)								
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to:	Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:								
(c)	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
appeal; and/or  (d)								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  2. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ul> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>	<u> </u>		ompliant Amondment (PTOL 324)					
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> <li>9 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>10 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art. (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event, initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instruction</li></ul>								
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   will not be entered, or b)   will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:</li></ul>	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendment canceling					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event, initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instructions which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art	7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of							
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the  Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event, initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art. (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event, initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor, is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns( leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejecti	Claim(s) objected to:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event , initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. ☐ Note the attached Inf								
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event, initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art. (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event, initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li></ul>	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event ,initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief, will not be					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art. (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event, initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  of the reasons stated in the final rejection. Applicant indicated clarification of the reference was necessary therefore the  Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event ,initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.					
Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following: the prior art (Alpert) clearly teaches e.g., the situation of detection of a debug event ,initiating a debugger, when the debugger is finished the processor is disclosed as resuming the original sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or plural instructions) the debugger is used in step mode so in response to completion of the second sequence of instructions in step mode the system returns (leaves step mode) to continue processing the original sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Examiner Notes for the independent claims the following	g: the prior art (Alpert) clearly tead	ches e.g., the situation of detection of					
step mode the system returns( leaves step mode) to continue processing the orginal sequence of instructions. For the additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	sequence of instructions, also the portions cited in the outstanding rejection disclosed that the system is put in step mode for performing the debug handler. Further the situation of a branch to another sequence of instruction (which may comprise one or							
additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		step mode the system returns( leaves step mode) to continue processing the orginal sequence of instructions. For the						
	additional particulars of the relevance of the cited prior art to the claims see the outstanding final rejection.							
		(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.
Eric Coleman
Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 07112005